Yesterday:

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{put} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{put} \ s \ v = \texttt{let} \ n = (\texttt{length} \ s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \texttt{zip} \ s' \ s \\ h = \texttt{zip} \ (\texttt{get} \ s') \ v \\ h' = h + g \\ \texttt{in} \ \texttt{map} \ (\lambda i \to \texttt{fromJust} \ (\texttt{lookup} \ i \ h')) \ s' \end{array}$$

For the full story, see:

 J. Voigtländer. Bidirectionalization for Free! In Principles of Programming Languages, Proceedings. ACM Press, 2009. A Constant-Complement Perspective on Bidirectionalization for Free

Janis Voigtländer

Technische Universität Dresden

GRACE-BX'08

In general, given

 $\texttt{get}::S \to V$

In general, given

 $\texttt{get} :: S \to V$

define a V' and

 $\texttt{compl}::S \to V'$

In general, given

 $\texttt{get}::S \to V$

define a V' and

$$\texttt{compl}:: S \to V'$$

such that

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (\texttt{get } s, \texttt{compl } s)$$

is injective

In general, given

 $\texttt{get}::S \to V$

define a V' and

$$\texttt{compl}:: S \to V'$$

such that

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (ext{get} s, ext{compl} s)$$

is injective and has an inverse

inv :: (V, V')
ightarrow S

In general, given

 $\texttt{get}::S \to V$

define a V' and

$$\texttt{compl}:: S \to V'$$

such that

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (ext{get} s, ext{compl} s)$$

is injective and has an inverse

$$ext{inv} :: (V, V') o S$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{put} :: S \to V \to S \\ \texttt{put} \ s \ v = \texttt{inv} \ (v, \texttt{compl} \ s) \end{array}$$

In general, given

 $\texttt{get}::S \to V$

define a V' and

$$\texttt{compl}::S \to V'$$

such that

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (ext{get} s, ext{compl} s)$$

is injective and has an inverse

 $\texttt{inv} :: (V, V') \to S$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{put} :: S \to V \to S \\ \texttt{put} \ s \ v = \texttt{inv} \ (v, \texttt{compl} \ s) \end{array}$$

Important: compl should "collapse" as much as possible.

For our setting,

 $\texttt{get} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$

what should be V' and

 $\operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to V'$???

For our setting,

 $\texttt{get} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$

what should be V' and

$$\texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to V' ???$$

To make

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (\texttt{get } s, \texttt{compl } s)$$

injective, need to record information discarded by get.

For our setting,

 $\texttt{get} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$

what should be V' and

$$\texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to V' ???$$

To make

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (ext{get } s, ext{compl } s)$$

injective, need to record information discarded by get.

Candidates:

1. length of the source list

For our setting,

 $\texttt{get} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$

what should be V' and

$$\texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to V' ???$$

To make

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (ext{get } s, ext{compl } s)$$

injective, need to record information discarded by get.

Candidates:

- 1. length of the source list
- 2. discarded list elements

For our setting,

 $\texttt{get} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$

what should be V' and

$$\texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to V' ???$$

To make

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (ext{get } s, ext{compl } s)$$

injective, need to record information discarded by get.

Candidates:

- 1. length of the source list
- 2. discarded list elements

For the moment, be maximally conservative with those.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{type } \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha = [(\mathsf{Int}, \alpha)] \\ \texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to (\mathsf{Int}, \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha) \\ \texttt{compl} s = \textbf{let } n = (\texttt{length } s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \texttt{zip } s' s \\ g' = \texttt{filter } (\lambda(i, _) \to \texttt{notElem } i \ (\texttt{get } s')) \ g \\ \textbf{in } (n+1,g') \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{type } \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha = [(\mathsf{Int}, \alpha)] \\ \texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to (\mathsf{Int}, \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha) \\ \texttt{compl} s = \textbf{let } n = (\texttt{length } s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \texttt{zip } s' s \\ g' = \texttt{filter } (\lambda(i, _) \to \texttt{notElem } i \ (\texttt{get } s')) \ g \\ \textbf{in } (n+1,g') \end{array}$$

For example:

 $\texttt{get} = \texttt{tail} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \texttt{compl "abcde"} = (5, [(0, \texttt{'a'})])$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{type } \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha = [(\mathsf{Int}, \alpha)] \\ \texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to (\mathsf{Int}, \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha) \\ \texttt{compl} s = \textbf{let } n = (\texttt{length } s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \texttt{zip } s' s \\ g' = \texttt{filter } (\lambda(i, _) \to \texttt{notElem } i \ (\texttt{get } s')) \ g \\ \textbf{in } (n+1,g') \end{array}$$

For example:

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{type } \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha = [(\mathsf{Int}, \alpha)] \\ \texttt{compl} :: [\alpha] \to (\mathsf{Int}, \mathsf{IntMap } \alpha) \\ \texttt{compl} s = \textbf{let } n = (\texttt{length } s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \texttt{zip } s' s \\ g' = \texttt{filter } (\lambda(i, _) \to \texttt{notElem } i \ (\texttt{get } s')) \ g \\ \textbf{in } (n+1, g') \end{array}$$

For example:

$$\begin{split} \text{inv} &:: [\alpha] \to (\text{Int}, \text{IntMap } \alpha) \to [\alpha] \\ \text{inv } v \ (n+1, g') = \text{let } s' = [0..n] \\ h = \text{zip} \ (\text{get } s') \ v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \text{in } \text{map} \ (\lambda i \to \text{fromJust} \ (\text{lookup } i \ h')) \ s' \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{inv} :: [\alpha] \to (\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{Int}\operatorname{Map} \alpha) \to [\alpha] \\ \operatorname{inv} v \ (n+1,g') = \operatorname{let} s' = [0..n] \\ h = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{get} s') v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{fromJust} (\operatorname{lookup} i h')) s' \end{array}$$

For example:

$$get = tail \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad inv "bcde" (5, [(0, 'a')]) = "abcde"$$

$$\begin{split} \text{inv} &:: [\alpha] \to (\text{Int}, \text{IntMap } \alpha) \to [\alpha] \\ \text{inv } v \ (n+1, g') = \text{let } s' = [0..n] \\ h = \text{zip} \ (\text{get } s') \ v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \text{in } \text{map} \ (\lambda i \to \text{fromJust} \ (\text{lookup } i \ h')) \ s' \end{split}$$

For example:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{inv} &:: [\alpha] \to (\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{Int}\operatorname{Map} \alpha) \to [\alpha] \\ \operatorname{inv} v \ (n+1,g') = \operatorname{let} s' = [0..n] \\ h = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{get} s') v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{fromJust} (\operatorname{lookup} i h')) s' \end{split}$$

For example:

To prove formally:

- inv (get s) (compl s) = s
- if inv v c defined, then get (inv v c) = v
- if inv v c defined, then compl (inv v c) = c

Altogether:

type IntMap $\alpha = [(Int, \alpha)]$ $compl :: [\alpha] \rightarrow (Int, IntMap \alpha)$ compl s =let n = (length s) - 1s' = [0..n] $g = \operatorname{zip} s' s$ $g' = \texttt{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \rightarrow \texttt{notElem} \ i \ (\texttt{get} \ s')) \ g$ in (n+1, g') $inv :: [\alpha] \rightarrow (Int, IntMap \alpha) \rightarrow [\alpha]$ inv v (n+1, g') =let s' = [0..n] $h = \operatorname{zip}(\operatorname{get} s') v$ $h' = h + \varphi'$ in map $(\lambda i \rightarrow \text{fromJust}(\text{lookup } i \ h')) s'$ put :: $[\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$ put s v = inv v (compl s)

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{put} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{put} \ s \ v = \texttt{let} \ n \ = (\texttt{length} \ s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g \ = \texttt{zip} \ s' \ s \\ g' = \texttt{filter} \ (\lambda(i, _) \to \texttt{notElem} \ i \ (\texttt{get} \ s')) \ g \\ h \ = \texttt{zip} \ (\texttt{get} \ s') \ v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \texttt{in} \ \texttt{map} \ (\lambda i \to \texttt{fromJust} \ (\texttt{lookup} \ i \ h')) \ s' \end{array}$$

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{put} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{put} \; s \; v = \texttt{let} \; n \; = (\texttt{length} \; s) - 1 \\ s' \; = [0..n] \\ g \; = \texttt{zip} \; s' \; s \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} h &= \texttt{zip} \; (\texttt{get} \; s') \; v \\ h' &= h + + g \\ \texttt{in} \; \max \left(\lambda i \to \texttt{fromJust} \; (\texttt{lookup} \; i \; h') \right) s' \end{split}$$

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{put} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{put} \ s \ v = \texttt{let} \ n \ = (\texttt{length} \ s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g \ = \texttt{zip} \ s' \ s \\ g' = \texttt{filter} \ (\lambda(i, _) \to \texttt{notElem} \ i \ (\texttt{get} \ s')) \ g \\ h \ = \texttt{zip} \ (\texttt{get} \ s') \ v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \texttt{in} \ \texttt{map} \ (\lambda i \to \texttt{fromJust} \ (\texttt{lookup} \ i \ h')) \ s' \end{array}$$

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{put} :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \operatorname{put} s \ v = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \operatorname{zip} s' \ s \\ g' = \operatorname{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \ (\operatorname{get} s')) \ g \\ h = \operatorname{zip} \ (\operatorname{get} s') \ v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{fromJust} (\operatorname{lookup} i \ h')) \ s' \end{array}$$

But the "decomposed" perspective via compl and inv better enables us to develop extensions of the technique!

Our approach to making

$$\lambda s
ightarrow (\texttt{get } s, \texttt{compl } s)$$

injective was to record, via compl, the following information:

- 1. length of the source list
- 2. discarded list elements

Our approach to making

 $\lambda s \rightarrow (\texttt{get } s, \texttt{compl } s)$

injective was to record, via compl, the following information:

- 1. length of the source list
- 2. discarded list elements

But for many get-functions it is impossible to have two sources of different lengths whose views have the same length.

Our approach to making

 $\lambda s
ightarrow (\texttt{get } s, \texttt{compl} s)$

injective was to record, via compl, the following information:

- 1. length of the source list
- 2. discarded list elements

But for many get-functions it is impossible to have two sources of different lengths whose views have the same length.

In these cases, recording the length of the original source leads to unnecessary restrictions.

For example:

 $get = tail \rightarrow put "abcde" "xyz" fails$

Our approach to making

 $\lambda s
ightarrow (\texttt{get } s, \texttt{compl} s)$

injective was to record, via compl, the following information:

- 1. length of the source list
- 2. discarded list elements

But for many get-functions it is impossible to have two sources of different lengths whose views have the same length.

In these cases, recording the length of the original source leads to unnecessary restrictions.

For example:

get = tail
$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 put "abcde" "xyz" fails, precisely because compl "abcde" = $(5, [(0, 'a')])$

So assume there is a function

 $\texttt{shapeInv}::\mathsf{Int}\to\mathsf{Int}$

with, for every source list s,

```
length s = shapeInv (length (get s))
```

So assume there is a function

 $\texttt{shapeInv}::\mathsf{Int}\to\mathsf{Int}$

with, for every source list s,

```
\texttt{length} s = \texttt{shapeInv} (\texttt{length} (\texttt{get} s))
```

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to (\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{IntMap} \alpha) \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ g' = \operatorname{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \ (\operatorname{get} s')) g \\ \operatorname{in} (n+1, g') \end{array}$$

So assume there is a function

 $\texttt{shapeInv}::\mathsf{Int}\to\mathsf{Int}$

with, for every source list s,

```
length s = shapeInv (length (get s))
```

Then:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to & \operatorname{IntMap} \alpha \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n &= (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & g &= \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ & g' &= \operatorname{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i (\operatorname{get} s')) g \\ & \operatorname{in} & g' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{inv} :: [\alpha] \to (\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{Int}\operatorname{Map} \alpha) \to [\alpha] \\ \operatorname{inv} v \ (n+1,g') = \operatorname{let} s' = [0..n] \\ h = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{get} s') v \\ h' = h + g' \\ \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{fromJust} (\operatorname{lookup} i h')) s' \end{array}$$

But how to obtain shapeInv ???

But how to obtain shapeInv ???

One possibility: provided by user.

But how to obtain shapeInv ???

One possibility: provided by user.

Another possibility:

```
shapeInv :: Int \rightarrow Int
shapeInv I = \text{head } [n+1 \mid n \leftarrow [0..], \text{ length } (\text{get } [0..n]) == I]
```

Works quite nicely in some cases:

get = tail ~~ put "abcde" "xyz" = "axyz"

Works quite nicely in some cases:

Works quite nicely in some cases:

But not so in others:

 $get = init \rightarrow put "abcde" "xyz" fails$

Works quite nicely in some cases:

But not so in others:

Works quite nicely in some cases:

But not so in others:

get = init
$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 put "abcde" "xyz" fails, because
compl "abcde" = [(4, 'e')]

The problem: by keeping indices around, compl does not "collapse enough".

Works quite nicely in some cases:

But not so in others:

The problem: by keeping indices around, compl does not "collapse enough".

Note: even without these indices, $\lambda s \rightarrow (\text{get } s, \text{compl } s)$ would be injective.

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to [(\operatorname{Int}, \alpha)] \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ s' = [0..n] \\ g = \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ g' = \operatorname{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \; (\operatorname{get} s')) \; g \\ \operatorname{in} \; g' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to [& \alpha \end{array}] \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & g = \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ & g' = \operatorname{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \ (\operatorname{get} s')) \ g \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} \operatorname{snd} g' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to [& \alpha \end{array}] \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & g = \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ & g' = \operatorname{filter} (\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \ (\operatorname{get} s')) \ g \\ & \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} \operatorname{snd} g' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} & \texttt{inv} :: [\alpha] \to [(\texttt{Int}, \alpha)] \to [\alpha] \\ & \texttt{inv} \ v \ g' = \texttt{let} \ n = \texttt{shapeInv} \ (\texttt{length} \ v) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & h = \texttt{zip} \ (\texttt{get} \ s') \ v \\ & h' = h + g' \\ & \texttt{in} \ \texttt{map} \ (\lambda i \to \texttt{fromJust} \ (\texttt{lookup} \ i \ h')) \ s' \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to [& \alpha \end{array}] \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & g = \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ & g' = \operatorname{filter} \left(\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \; (\operatorname{get} s')\right) g \\ & \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} \operatorname{snd} g' \end{array}$$
$$\operatorname{inv} :: [\alpha] \to [& \alpha \end{array}] \to [\alpha] \\ \operatorname{inv} v c = \operatorname{let} n = \operatorname{shapeInv} (\operatorname{length} v) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & h = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{get} s') v \\ & g' = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{filter} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{notElem} i \; (\operatorname{get} s')) s') c \\ & h' = h + + g' \\ & \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{fromJust} (\operatorname{lookup} i h')) s' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{compl} :: [\alpha] \to [& \alpha \end{array}] \\ \operatorname{compl} s = \operatorname{let} n = (\operatorname{length} s) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & g = \operatorname{zip} s' s \\ & g' = \operatorname{filter} \left(\lambda(i, _) \to \operatorname{notElem} i \; (\operatorname{get} s')\right) g \\ & \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} \operatorname{snd} g' \end{array}$$
$$\operatorname{inv} v c = \operatorname{let} n = \operatorname{shapeInv} (\operatorname{length} v) - 1 \\ & s' = [0..n] \\ & h = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{get} s') v \\ & g' = \operatorname{zip} (\operatorname{filter} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{notElem} i \; (\operatorname{get} s')) s') c \\ & h' = h + + g' \\ & \operatorname{in} \operatorname{map} (\lambda i \to \operatorname{fromJust} (\operatorname{lookup} i \; h')) s' \end{array}$$

Now:

get = init
$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 put "abcde" "xyz" = "xyze"

```
Let get = sieve with:

sieve :: [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]

sieve (a:b:cs) = b: sieve cs

sieve _ = []
```

Let get = sieve with:
sieve ::
$$[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

sieve $(a:b:cs) = b$: sieve cs
sieve _ = []

Then:

put [1..8] [2, -4, 6, 8] = [1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

Let get = sieve with:
sieve ::
$$[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

sieve $(a:b:cs) = b$: sieve cs
sieve _ = []

Then:

put
$$[1..8]$$
 $[2, -4, 6, 8]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8]$
put $[1..8]$ $[2, -4, 6]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6]$

Let get = sieve with:
sieve ::
$$[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

sieve $(a:b:cs) = b$: sieve cs
sieve _ = []

Then:

put [1..8]
$$[2, -4, 6, 8]$$
 = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8]$
put [1..8] $[2, -4, 6]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6]$
put [1..8] $[2, -4, 6, 8, 10, 12]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8, \bot, 10, \bot, 12]$

Let get = sieve with:
sieve ::
$$[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

sieve $(a:b:cs) = b$: sieve cs
sieve _ = []

Then:

put [1..8]
$$[2, -4, 6, 8]$$
 = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8]$
put [1..8] $[2, -4, 6]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6]$
put [1..8] $[2, -4, 6, 8, 10, 12]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8, \bot, 10, \bot, 12]$

However:

$$\texttt{put} \ \texttt{[1..8]} \ \texttt{[0,2,-4,6,8]} \ = \ \texttt{[1,0,3,2,5,-4,7,6,\bot,8]}$$

Let get = sieve with:
sieve ::
$$[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

sieve $(a:b:cs) = b$: sieve cs
sieve _ = []

Then:

put [1..8]
$$[2, -4, 6, 8]$$
 = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8]$
put [1..8] $[2, -4, 6]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6]$
put [1..8] $[2, -4, 6, 8, 10, 12]$ = $[1, 2, 3, -4, 5, 6, 7, 8, \bot, 10, \bot, 12]$

However:

 $\texttt{put} \ \texttt{[1..8]} \ \texttt{[0,2,-4,6,8]} \ = \ \texttt{[1,0,3,2,5,-4,7,6,\bot,8]}$

Whereas we might have preferred:

 $\texttt{put} \ \texttt{[1..8]} \ \texttt{[0,2,-4,6,8]} \ = \ \texttt{[} \bot, \texttt{0,1,2,3,-4,5,6,7,8]}$