### Free Theorems — Foundations

Janis Voigtländer

Technische Universität Dresden

April 22nd, 2009

# Using a Free Theorem [Wadler 1989]

For every

 $\texttt{get}::[\alpha] \to [\alpha]$ 

we have

$$map f (get l) = get (map f l)$$

for arbitrary f and l, where

$$\begin{array}{l} \max p :: (\alpha \to \beta) \to [\alpha] \to [\beta] \\ \max p f [] &= [] \\ \max p f (a:as) = (f a) : (\max p f as) \end{array}$$

# Using a Free Theorem [Wadler 1989]

For every

 $\texttt{get}::[\alpha] \to [\alpha]$ 

we have

$$map f (get l) = get (map f l)$$

for arbitrary f and l, where

$$\begin{array}{l} \max p :: (\alpha \to \beta) \to [\alpha] \to [\beta] \\ \max f [] &= [] \\ \max p f (a:as) = (f a) : (\max f as) \end{array}$$

But how do we know this?

• get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.
- ► The only means for this decision is to inspect the length of *I*.

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.
- ► The only means for this decision is to inspect the length of *I*.
- ► The lists (map f l) and l always have equal length.

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.
- ► The only means for this decision is to inspect the length of *I*.
- ► The lists (map f l) and l always have equal length.
- get always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as it does from l,

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.
- ► The only means for this decision is to inspect the length of *I*.
- ► The lists (map f l) and l always have equal length.
- get always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as it does from l, except that in the former case it outputs their images under f.

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.
- ► The only means for this decision is to inspect the length of *I*.
- ► The lists (map f l) and l always have equal length.
- get always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as it does from l, except that in the former case it outputs their images under f.
- (get (map f I)) is equivalent to (map f (get I)).

- ▶ get ::  $[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I*.
- ► The only means for this decision is to inspect the length of *I*.
- ► The lists (map f l) and l always have equal length.
- get always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as it does from l, except that in the former case it outputs their images under f.
- (get (map f I)) is equivalent to (map f (get I)).
- That is what was claimed!

$$\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{takeWhile} :: (\alpha \to \texttt{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{takeWhile} p \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \texttt{takeWhile} p (a:as) \mid p a \\ \mid \texttt{otherwise} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{takeWhile} :: (\alpha \to \texttt{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{takeWhile} p \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \texttt{takeWhile} p (a:as) \mid p a \\ \mid \texttt{otherwise} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

For arbitrary p, f, and l: takeWhile  $p \pmod{f l} = \operatorname{map} f (\operatorname{takeWhile} (p \circ f) l)$ Provable by induction.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{takeWhile} :: (\alpha \to \texttt{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \\ \texttt{takeWhile} p \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \texttt{takeWhile} p (a:as) \mid p a \\ \mid \texttt{otherwise} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

For arbitrary p, f, and l: takeWhile  $p \pmod{f l} = \operatorname{map} f (\operatorname{takeWhile} (p \circ f) l)$ 

Provable by induction.

Or again as a free theorem.

 $\texttt{takeWhile}:: (\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$ 

```
For arbitrary p, f, and l:

takeWhile p \pmod{f l} = \operatorname{map} f (\operatorname{takeWhile} (p \circ f) l)

Provable by induction
```

Provable by induction.

Or again as a free theorem.

takeWhile:: 
$$(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$$
  
filter::  $(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$ 

For arbitrary p, f, and l: takeWhile  $p \pmod{f l} = \operatorname{map} f (\operatorname{takeWhile} (p \circ f) l)$ filter  $p \pmod{f l} = \operatorname{map} f (\operatorname{filter} (p \circ f) l)$ 

**takeWhile**:: 
$$(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$$
  
**filter**::  $(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$   
**g**::  $(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]$ 

For arbitrary p, f, and l: takeWhile  $p \pmod{f} = \max f (\text{takeWhile} (p \circ f) l)$ filter  $p \pmod{f} = \max f (\text{filter} (p \circ f) l)$  $g p \pmod{f} = \max f (g (p \circ f) l)$  Why g p (map f l) = map f (g ( $p \circ f$ ) l), Intuitively

▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .

Why g p (map f l) = map f (g ( $p \circ f$ ) l), Intuitively

▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .

► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.
- The only means for this decision are to inspect the length of *l* and to check the outcome of *p* on its elements.

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.
- The only means for this decision are to inspect the length of *l* and to check the outcome of *p* on its elements.
- The lists (map f I) and I always have equal length.

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.
- The only means for this decision are to inspect the length of *l* and to check the outcome of *p* on its elements.
- The lists (map f I) and I always have equal length.
- Applying p to an element of (map f l) always has the same outcome as applying (p ∘ f) to the corresponding element of l.

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.
- The only means for this decision are to inspect the length of *l* and to check the outcome of *p* on its elements.
- The lists (map f I) and I always have equal length.
- Applying p to an element of (map f l) always has the same outcome as applying (p ∘ f) to the corresponding element of l.
- ▶ g with p always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as does g with (p ∘ f) from l, except that in the former case it outputs their images under f.

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.
- The only means for this decision are to inspect the length of *l* and to check the outcome of *p* on its elements.
- The lists (map f I) and I always have equal length.
- Applying p to an element of (map f l) always has the same outcome as applying (p ∘ f) to the corresponding element of l.
- ▶ g with p always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as does g with (p ∘ f) from l, except that in the former case it outputs their images under f.
- (g p (map f l)) is equivalent to  $(map f (g (p \circ f) l))$ .

- ▶ g ::  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$  must work uniformly for every instantiation of  $\alpha$ .
- ► The output list can only contain elements from the input list *I*.
- Which, and in which order/multiplicity, can only be decided based on *I* and the input predicate *p*.
- The only means for this decision are to inspect the length of *l* and to check the outcome of *p* on its elements.
- The lists (map f I) and I always have equal length.
- Applying p to an element of (map f l) always has the same outcome as applying (p ∘ f) to the corresponding element of l.
- g with p always chooses "the same" elements from (map f l) for output as does g with (p ∘ f) from l, except that in the former case it outputs their images under f.
- (g p (map f l)) is equivalent to  $(map f (g (p \circ f) l))$ .
- That is what was claimed!

# Automatic Generation of Free Theorems

#### At http://linux.tcs.inf.tu-dresden.de/~voigt/ft:

This tool allows to generate free theorems for sublanguages of Haskell as described here.

The source code of the underlying library and a shell-based application using it is available <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>.

Please enter a (polymorphic) type, e.g. "(a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]" or simply "filter":

g :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]

Please choose a sublanguage of Haskell:

no bottoms (hence no general recursion and no selective strictness)

general recursion but no selective strictness

general recursion and selective strictness

Please choose a theorem style (without effect in the sublanguage with no bottoms):

equational

inequational

Generate

# Automatic Generation of Free Theorems

The theorem generated for functions of the type

g :: forall a . (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]

in the sublanguage of Haskell with no bottoms is:

The structural lifting occurring therein is defined as follows:

Reducing all permissible relation variables to functions yields:

```
forall tl,t2 in TYPES, f :: tl -> t2.
forall q :: tl -> Bool.
forall q :: t2 -> Bool.
(forall x :: tl. p x = q (f x))
==> (forall y :: [tl]. map f (g p y) = g q (map f y))
```

Export as PDF

Show type instantiations

<u>Help page</u>

Question: What g have type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$ ?

$$\begin{tabular}{ll} [Bool] &= \{ {\sf True}, {\sf False} \} \\ [[{\sf Int}]] &= \{ \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \end{tabular}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Bool} \end{bmatrix} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False}\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Int} \end{bmatrix} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \end{bmatrix} = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \\ \\ \llbracket [\tau] \rrbracket = \{[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid n \ge 0, x_i \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Bool} \end{bmatrix} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False} \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Int} \end{bmatrix} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \end{bmatrix} = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \\ \\ \llbracket [\tau] \rrbracket = \{ [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid n \ge 0, x_i \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \} \\ \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket = \{ f : \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Bool} \end{bmatrix} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False} \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Int} \end{bmatrix} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \tau_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\tau] \end{bmatrix} = \{ [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid n \ge 0, x_i \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \end{bmatrix} = \{ f : \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket = ? \end{bmatrix}$$

Question: What g have type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$ ? Approach: Give denotations of types as sets. (A bit naive ...)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Bool} \end{bmatrix} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False} \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Int} \end{bmatrix} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \end{bmatrix} = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket [\tau] \rrbracket = \{ [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid n \ge 0, x_i \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket = \{ f : \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket = ? \end{aligned}$$

▶  $g \in \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket$  would have to be a whole "collection" of values: for every type  $\tau'$ , an instance with type  $\tau[\tau'/\alpha]$ .
#### Formal Background: Parametric Polymorphism

Question: What g have type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$ ? Approach: Give denotations of types as sets. (A bit naive ...)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Bool} \end{bmatrix} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False} \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Int} \end{bmatrix} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \end{bmatrix} = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket [\tau] \rrbracket = \{ [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid n \ge 0, x_i \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket = \{ f : \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket = ? \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $g \in \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket$  would have to be a whole "collection" of values: for every type  $\tau'$ , an instance with type  $\tau[\tau'/\alpha]$ .
- $\bullet \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket = \{ g \mid \forall \tau'. g_{\tau'} \in \llbracket \tau [\tau' / \alpha] \rrbracket \} ?$

#### Formal Background: Parametric Polymorphism

Question: What g have type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$ ? Approach: Give denotations of types as sets. (A bit naive ...)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Bool} \end{bmatrix} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False} \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Int} \end{bmatrix} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \end{bmatrix} = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket [\tau] \rrbracket = \{ [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid n \ge 0, x_i \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket = \{ f : \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket = ? \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $g \in \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket$  would have to be a whole "collection" of values: for every type  $\tau'$ , an instance with type  $\tau[\tau'/\alpha]$ .
- $\bullet \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket = \{ g \mid \forall \tau'. g_{\tau'} \in \llbracket \tau [\tau' / \alpha] \rrbracket \} ?$
- But this includes "ad-hoc polymorphic" functions!

▶ With the proposed definition,

 $\llbracket \forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \to \alpha \rrbracket = \{ g \mid \forall \tau. g_{\tau} : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \to \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \}.$ 

- ▶ With the proposed definition,  $\llbracket \forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rrbracket = \{g \mid \forall \tau. g_{\tau} : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \}.$
- But this also allows a g with

$$g_{\text{Bool}}(x,y) = \operatorname{not} x$$
  
$$g_{\text{Int}}(x,y) = y+1,$$

which is not possible in Haskell at type  $\forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ .

- ▶ With the proposed definition,  $\llbracket \forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rrbracket = \{g \mid \forall \tau. g_{\tau} : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \}.$
- But this also allows a g with

$$\begin{array}{lll} g_{\mathsf{Bool}} \left( x, y \right) \; = \; \underset{x, y}{\mathsf{not}} \; x \\ g_{\mathsf{Int}} \left( x, y \right) \; = \; y + 1 \, , \end{array}$$

which is not possible in Haskell at type  $\forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ .

To prevent this, we have to compare

$$\begin{array}{ll} g_{\mathsf{Bool}} & : & \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket & \mbox{and} \\ g_{\mathsf{Int}} & : & \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket, \end{array}$$

and ensure that they "behave identically".

- ▶ With the proposed definition,  $\llbracket \forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rrbracket = \{g \mid \forall \tau. g_{\tau} : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \}.$
- But this also allows a g with

$$\begin{array}{lll} g_{\mathsf{Bool}} \left( x, y \right) \; = \; \underset{x, y}{\mathsf{not}} \; x \\ g_{\mathsf{Int}} \left( x, y \right) \; = \; y + 1 \, , \end{array}$$

which is not possible in Haskell at type  $\forall \alpha. (\alpha, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ .

To prevent this, we have to compare

$$\begin{array}{ll} g_{\mathsf{Bool}} & : & \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket & \mbox{and} \\ g_{\mathsf{Int}} & : & \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket, \end{array}$$

and ensure that they "behave identically". But how?

Use arbitrary relations to tie instances together!

Use arbitrary relations to tie instances together! In the example (g ::  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha, \alpha$ )  $\rightarrow \alpha$ ):

• Choose a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket$ .

Use arbitrary relations to tie instances together! In the example (g ::  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha, \alpha$ )  $\rightarrow \alpha$ ):

- Choose a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket$ .
- ▶ Call  $(x_1, x_2) \in \llbracket Bool \rrbracket \times \llbracket Bool \rrbracket$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in \llbracket Int \rrbracket \times \llbracket Int \rrbracket$ related if  $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Use arbitrary relations to tie instances together! In the example (g ::  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha, \alpha$ )  $\rightarrow \alpha$ ):

- Choose a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket$ .
- ▶ Call  $(x_1, x_2) \in \llbracket Bool \rrbracket \times \llbracket Bool \rrbracket$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in \llbracket Int \rrbracket \times \llbracket Int \rrbracket$ related if  $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- Call f<sub>1</sub> : [[Bool]] × [[Bool]] → [[Bool]], f<sub>2</sub> : [[Int]] × [[Int]] → [[Int]] related if related inputs lead to related outputs.

Use arbitrary relations to tie instances together! In the example (g ::  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha, \alpha$ )  $\rightarrow \alpha$ ):

- Choose a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket$ .
- ▶ Call  $(x_1, x_2) \in \llbracket Bool \rrbracket \times \llbracket Bool \rrbracket$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in \llbracket Int \rrbracket \times \llbracket Int \rrbracket$ related if  $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- Call f<sub>1</sub> : [[Bool]] × [[Bool]] → [[Bool]], f<sub>2</sub> : [[Int]] × [[Int]] → [[Int]] related if related inputs lead to related outputs.
- Then g<sub>Bool</sub> and g<sub>Int</sub> with

$$g_{\text{Bool}}(x,y) = \operatorname{not} x$$
  
 $g_{\text{Int}}(x,y) = y+1$ 

are not related for choice of, e.g.,  $\mathcal{R} = \{(\mathsf{True}, 1)\}.$ 

Use arbitrary relations to tie instances together! In the example (g ::  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha, \alpha$ )  $\rightarrow \alpha$ ):

- Choose a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \llbracket \mathsf{Bool} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathsf{Int} \rrbracket$ .
- ▶ Call  $(x_1, x_2) \in \llbracket Bool \rrbracket \times \llbracket Bool \rrbracket$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in \llbracket Int \rrbracket \times \llbracket Int \rrbracket$ related if  $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- Call f<sub>1</sub> : [[Bool]] × [[Bool]] → [[Bool]], f<sub>2</sub> : [[Int]] × [[Int]] → [[Int]] related if related inputs lead to related outputs.
- Then g<sub>Bool</sub> and g<sub>Int</sub> with

$$g_{\text{Bool}}(x, y) = \text{not } x$$
  
$$g_{\text{Int}}(x, y) = y + 1$$

are not related for choice of, e.g.,  $\mathcal{R} = \{(\mathsf{True}, 1)\}.$ 

Reynolds:  $g \in \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau \rrbracket$  iff for every  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  and  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket$ ,  $g_{\tau_1}$  is related to  $g_{\tau_2}$  by the "propagation" of  $\mathcal{R}$ along  $\tau$ . Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974]

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Types:} \ \tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau \\ \text{Terms:} \ t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau. t \mid t \mid \Lambda \alpha. t \mid t \mid \tau \end{array}$ 

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974]

Types: 
$$\tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau$$
  
Terms:  $t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau. t \mid t t \mid \Lambda \alpha. t \mid t \tau$   
 $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$ 

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974]

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Types: } \tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha.\tau \\ \text{Terms: } t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau.t \mid t \mid \Lambda \alpha.t \mid t \mid \tau \\ \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \\ \hline \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash t : \tau_2 \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau_1.t) : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \end{array}$$

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974] Types:  $\tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau$ Terms:  $t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau \cdot t \mid t \mid \Lambda \alpha \cdot t \mid t \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash t : \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau_1 \cdot t) : \tau_1 \to \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau_1$  $\Gamma \vdash (t \ u) : \tau_2$ 

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974] Types:  $\tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau$ Terms:  $t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau \cdot t \mid t \mid \Lambda \alpha \cdot t \mid t \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash t : \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau_1 \cdot t) : \tau_1 \to \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau_1$  $\Gamma \vdash (t \ u) : \tau_2$  $\alpha, \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  $\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda \alpha. t) : \forall \alpha. \tau$ 

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974] Types:  $\tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau$ Terms:  $t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau \cdot t \mid t \mid \Lambda \alpha \cdot t \mid t \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash t : \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau_1 \cdot t) : \tau_1 \to \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau_1$  $\Gamma \vdash (t \ u) : \tau_2$  $\alpha, \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  $\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda \alpha. t) : \forall \alpha. \tau$  $\Gamma \vdash t : \forall \alpha. \tau$  $\Gamma \vdash (t \tau') : \tau[\tau'/\alpha]$ 

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus [Girard 1972, Reynolds 1974] Types:  $\tau := \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau$ Terms:  $t := x \mid \lambda x : \tau . t \mid t t \mid \Lambda \alpha . t \mid t \tau$  $[x]_{\theta,\sigma}$  $= \sigma(x)$  $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$  $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash t : \tau_2$  $\llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1 \cdot t \rrbracket_{\theta,\sigma} a = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta,\sigma[x \mapsto a]}$  $\overline{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau_1 \cdot t)} : \tau_1 \to \tau_2$  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau_1$  $\begin{bmatrix} t & u \end{bmatrix}_{\theta,\sigma}$  $= \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta,\sigma} \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta,\sigma}$  $\Gamma \vdash (t \ u) : \tau_2$  $\alpha, \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  $\llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta,\sigma} S = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta[\alpha \mapsto S],\sigma}$  $\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda \alpha. t) : \forall \alpha. \tau$  $\Gamma \vdash t : \forall \alpha. \tau$  $[t \tau']_{\theta,\sigma}$  $= \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta,\sigma} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket_{\theta}$  $\Gamma \vdash (t \tau') : \tau[\tau'/\alpha]$ 

Given  $\tau$  and environments  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \rho$  with  $\rho(\alpha) \subseteq \theta_1(\alpha) \times \theta_2(\alpha)$ , define  $\Delta_{\tau,\rho} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_1} \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_2}$  as follows:

Given  $\tau$  and environments  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \rho$  with  $\rho(\alpha) \subseteq \theta_1(\alpha) \times \theta_2(\alpha)$ , define  $\Delta_{\tau,\rho} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_1} \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_2}$  as follows:

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\rho} = \rho(\alpha)$$

Given  $\tau$  and environments  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \rho$  with  $\rho(\alpha) \subseteq \theta_1(\alpha) \times \theta_2(\alpha)$ , define  $\Delta_{\tau,\rho} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_1} \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_2}$  as follows:

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta_{lpha,
ho}&=&
ho(lpha)\ \Delta_{ au_1
ightarrow au_{ au_2,
ho}}&=&\{(f_1,f_2)\mid orall(a_1,a_2)\in\Delta_{ au_1,
ho}.\;(f_1\;a_1,f_2\;a_2)\in\Delta_{ au_2,
ho}\} \end{array}$$

Given  $\tau$  and environments  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \rho$  with  $\rho(\alpha) \subseteq \theta_1(\alpha) \times \theta_2(\alpha)$ , define  $\Delta_{\tau,\rho} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_1} \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_2}$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_{\alpha,\rho} & = & \rho(\alpha) \\ \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2,\rho} & = & \{(f_1, f_2) \mid \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1,\rho}. \ (f_1 \ a_1, f_2 \ a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_2,\rho} \} \\ \Delta_{\forall \alpha,\tau,\rho} & = & \{(g_1, g_2) \mid \forall \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_1 \times S_2. \ (g_1 \ S_1, g_2 \ S_2) \in \Delta_{\tau,\rho[\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \} \end{array}$$

Given  $\tau$  and environments  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \rho$  with  $\rho(\alpha) \subseteq \theta_1(\alpha) \times \theta_2(\alpha)$ , define  $\Delta_{\tau,\rho} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_1} \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\theta_2}$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_{\alpha,\rho} & = & \rho(\alpha) \\ \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2,\rho} & = & \{(f_1, f_2) \mid \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1,\rho}. \ (f_1 \ a_1, f_2 \ a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_2,\rho} \} \\ \Delta_{\forall \alpha,\tau,\rho} & = & \{(g_1, g_2) \mid \forall \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_1 \times S_2. \ (g_1 \ S_1, g_2 \ S_2) \in \Delta_{\tau,\rho[\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \} \end{array}$$

Then, for every closed term t of closed type  $\tau$ :

 $(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\emptyset,\emptyset}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\emptyset,\emptyset}) \in \Delta_{\tau,\emptyset}.$ 

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

by induction on the structure of typing derivations.

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

by induction on the structure of typing derivations. The base case is immediate.

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash t: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x: \tau_1.t): \tau_1 \to \tau_2}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$rac{orall (m{a}_1,m{a}_2)\in\Delta_{ au_1,
ho}. (\llbracket t
rbracket_{m{\theta}_1,\sigma_1[ imes\mapstom{a}_1]},\llbracket t
rbracket_{m{\theta}_2,\sigma_2[ imes\mapstom{a}_2]})\in\Delta_{ au_2,
ho}}{(\llbracket \lambda x: au_1.t
rbracket_{m{ heta}_1,\sigma_1},\llbracket \lambda x: au_1.t
rbracket_{m{ heta}_2,\sigma_2})\in\Delta_{ au_1 o au_2,
ho}}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho} \cdot \left(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1[\mathsf{x} \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2[\mathsf{x} \mapsto a_2]}\right) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho} \\ \hline \left(\llbracket \lambda \mathsf{x} : \tau_1 . t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda \mathsf{x} : \tau_1 . t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}\right) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho} \\ \hline \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau_1}{\left(\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}\right) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}} \end{array}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1} [\mathsf{x} \mapsto a_1], \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2} [\mathsf{x} \mapsto a_2]) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket \lambda \mathsf{x} : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda \mathsf{x} : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\ \frac{(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1[x \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2[x \mapsto a_2]}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\
(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho} (\llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho} \\
(\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho} \\
\underline{\alpha, \Gamma \vdash t : \tau} \\
\overline{\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda \alpha.t) : \forall \alpha. \tau}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1[x \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2[x \mapsto a_2]}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\
(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho} (\llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho} \\
(\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho} \\
\frac{\alpha, \Gamma \vdash t : \tau}{(\llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha.\tau, \rho}}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. \left( \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1 [\mathsf{x} \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2 [\mathsf{x} \mapsto a_2]} \right) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{\left( \llbracket \lambda \mathsf{x} : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda \mathsf{x} : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2} \right) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\ \frac{\left( \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2} \right) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}}{\left( \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2} \right) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}} \\ \frac{\forall \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_1 \times S_2. \left( \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1 [\alpha \mapsto S_1], \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2} \right) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}}{\left( \llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2} \right) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha.\tau, \rho}} \end{array}$$

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1[x \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2[x \mapsto a_2]}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\ (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho} & (\llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho} \\ (\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho} \\ \frac{\forall \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_1 \times S_2. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1[\alpha \mapsto S_1], \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2[\alpha \mapsto S_2], \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho[\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}}{(\llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha.\tau, \rho}} \\ \frac{\overline{\Gamma} \vdash t : \forall \alpha.\tau}{\overline{\Gamma} \vdash (t \ \tau') : \tau[\tau'/\alpha]} \end{array}$$
## **Proof Sketch**

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

by induction on the structure of typing derivations. The base case is immediate. In the step cases:

$$\frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1[x \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2[x \mapsto a_2]}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\ (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho} (\llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}} \\ (\llbracket t \amalg_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \amalg_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \amalg_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}} \\ \frac{\forall \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_1 \times S_2. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1[\alpha \mapsto S_1], \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2[\alpha \mapsto S_2], \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho[\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}}{(\llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \Lambda \alpha.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau(\tau'/\alpha], \rho}} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall \alpha. \tau}{(\llbracket t \tau' \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \tau' \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau[\tau'/\alpha], \rho}}$$

## **Proof Sketch**

Prove the following more general statement:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash t : \tau \text{ implies } (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau, \rho} \text{ ,} \\ & \text{provided } (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x)) \in \Delta_{\tau', \rho} \text{ for every } x : \tau' \text{ in } \Gamma \end{split}$$

by induction on the structure of typing derivations. The base case is immediate. In the step cases:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho}. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1[x \mapsto a_1]}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2[x \mapsto a_2]}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho}}{(\llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket \lambda x : \tau_1.t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho}} \\ (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2, \rho} & (\llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho} \\ \hline (\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_2, \rho} \\ \frac{\forall \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_1 \times S_2. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha \cdot \tau, \rho} \\ \hline (\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha \cdot \tau, \rho} \\ \hline (\llbracket t \ u \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha \cdot \tau, \rho} \\ \hline (\llbracket t \ \tau' \rrbracket_{\theta_1, \sigma_1}, \llbracket t \ \tau' \rrbracket_{\theta_2, \sigma_2}) \in \Delta_{\tau_1, \rho} \end{array}$$

## Adding Datatypes

Types: 
$$\tau := \cdots | \text{Bool} | [\tau]$$
  
Terms:  $t := \cdots | \text{True} | \text{False} | []_{\tau} | t : t | case t of { $\cdots$ }$ 

### Adding Datatypes

Types: 
$$\tau := \cdots \mid \text{Bool} \mid [\tau]$$
  
Terms:  $t := \cdots \mid \text{True} \mid \text{False} \mid []_{\tau} \mid t : t \mid \text{case } t \text{ of } \{\cdots\}$   
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{True} : \text{Bool} , \Gamma \vdash \text{False} : \text{Bool} , \Gamma \vdash []_{\tau} : [\tau]$   
 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash u : [\tau]}{\Gamma \vdash (t : u) : [\tau]}$   
 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash v : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } t \text{ of } \{\text{True} \rightarrow u; \text{False} \rightarrow v\}) : \tau}$   
 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : [\tau'] \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau \quad \Gamma, x_1 : \tau', x_2 : [\tau'] \vdash v : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } t \text{ of } \{[] \rightarrow u; (x_1 : x_2) \rightarrow v\}) : \tau}$ 

## Adding Datatypes

Types: 
$$\tau := \cdots \mid \text{Bool} \mid [\tau]$$
  
Terms:  $t := \cdots \mid \text{True} \mid \text{False} \mid []_{\tau} \mid t : t \mid \text{case } t \text{ of } \{\cdots\}$   
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{True} : \text{Bool} , \Gamma \vdash \text{False} : \text{Bool} , \Gamma \vdash []_{\tau} : [\tau]$   
 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash u : [\tau]}{\Gamma \vdash (t : u) : [\tau]}$   
 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash v : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } t \text{ of } \{\text{True} \rightarrow u; \text{False} \rightarrow v\}) : \tau}$   
 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : [\tau'] \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau \quad \Gamma, x_1 : \tau', x_2 : [\tau'] \vdash v : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } t \text{ of } \{[] \rightarrow u; (x_1 : x_2) \rightarrow v\}) : \tau}$ 

With the straightforward extension of the semantics and with

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta_{\mathsf{Bool},\rho} &= \{(\mathsf{True},\mathsf{True}),(\mathsf{False},\mathsf{False})\}\\ \Delta_{[\tau],\rho} &= \{([x_1,\ldots,x_n],[y_1,\ldots,y_n]) \mid n \geq 0,(x_i,y_i) \in \Delta_{\tau,\rho}\},\\ \text{the parametricity theorem still holds.} \end{array}$$

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

 $(\mathsf{g},\mathsf{g}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha.\; (\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), \emptyset}$ 

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{g},\mathsf{g}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha. \ (\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), \emptyset} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel}. \ (\mathsf{g},\mathsf{g}) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \text{by definition of } \Delta \end{array}$$

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

# $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{g},\mathrm{g}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha. \ (\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), \emptyset} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel}. \ (\mathrm{g},\mathrm{g}) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel}, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}. \ (\mathrm{g} \ a_1, \mathrm{g} \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha] \to [\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \text{by definition of } \Delta \end{array}$

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{g},\mathbf{g}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha. \ (\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), \emptyset} \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel}. \ (\mathbf{g},\mathbf{g}) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel}, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}. \ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha] \to [\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel}, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}. \ (\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}. \\ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1 \ l_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2 \ l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \text{by definition of } \Delta \end{array}$ 

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ )  $\rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{g},\mathbf{g}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha.} (\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]), \emptyset \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel. \ (\mathbf{g},\mathbf{g}) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]), [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]}. \ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha], [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]}. \ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]}. \\ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1 \ l_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2 \ l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Rightarrow \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]}, (l_1, l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f). \\ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1 \ l_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2 \ l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f) \\ \text{by instantiating } \mathcal{R} = f \text{ and realising that } \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \rightarrow f]} = \mathsf{map} \ f \end{array}$ 

for every function f

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ )  $\rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

$$\begin{split} &(g,g) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha. (\alpha \to \text{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), \emptyset} \\ \Leftrightarrow &\forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel. (g,g) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \to \text{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow &\forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \text{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \cdot (g \ a_1, g \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha] \to [\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow &\forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \text{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}, (l_1, l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ &(g \ a_1 \ l_1, g \ a_2 \ l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Rightarrow &\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \text{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}, (l_1, l_2) \in (\text{map } f). \\ &(g \ a_1 \ l_1, g \ a_2 \ l_2) \in (\text{map } f) \\ \Rightarrow &\forall (l_1, l_2) \in (\text{map } f). (g \ (p \circ f) \ l_1, g \ p \ l_2) \in (\text{map } f) \\ &\text{by instantiating } (a_1, a_2) = (p \circ f, p) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \text{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto f]} \end{split}$$

for every function f and predicate p.

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ . ( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ )  $\rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

 $\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \textit{Rel.} (g, g) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \to \text{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \operatorname{Rel}, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \operatorname{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}. \ (g \ a_1, g \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha] \to [\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in Rel, (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \text{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}, (l_1, l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}.$  $(g a_1 l_1, g a_2 l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}$  $\Rightarrow \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto f]}, (l_1, l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f).$  $(g a_1 l_1, g a_2 l_2) \in (map f)$  $\Rightarrow \forall (l_1, l_2) \in (\text{map } f). (g (p \circ f) l_1, g p l_2) \in (\text{map } f)$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall l_1. \text{ map } f (g (p \circ f) l_1) = g p (\text{map } f l_1)$ by inlining

for every function f and predicate p.

Given g of type  $\forall \alpha$ .  $(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow ([\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha])$ , by the parametricity theorem:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) \in \Delta_{\forall \alpha. \ (\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), \emptyset} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel.} \ (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) \in \Delta_{(\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to ([\alpha] \to [\alpha]), [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel.} \ (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \cdot \ (\mathbf{g} \ a_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha] \to [\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} \in \mathit{Rel.} \ (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}, \ (l_1, l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \quad (\mathbf{g} \ a_1 \ l_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2 \ l_2) \in \Delta_{[\alpha], [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]} \\ \Rightarrow \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha \to \mathsf{Bool}, [\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}]}, \ (l_1, l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f) \\ \quad (\mathbf{g} \ a_1 \ l_1, \mathbf{g} \ a_2 \ l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f) \\ \Rightarrow \forall (l_1, l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f) . \ (\mathbf{g} \ (p \circ f) \ l_1, \mathbf{g} \ p \ l_2) \in (\mathsf{map} \ f) \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall l_1. \ \mathsf{map} \ f \ (\mathbf{g} \ (p \circ f) \ l_1) = \mathbf{g} \ p \ (\mathsf{map} \ f \ l_1) \end{array}$ 

for every function f and predicate p.

That is what was claimed!

#### References

#### J.-Y. Girard.

Interprétation functionelle et élimination des coupures dans l'arithmétique d'ordre supérieure. PhD thesis, Université Paris VII, 1972.



#### J.C. Reynolds.

#### Towards a theory of type structure.

In Colloque sur la Programmation, Proceedings, pages 408-423. Springer-Verlag, 1974.

#### J.C. Reynolds.

Types, abstraction and parametric polymorphism. In Information Processing, Proceedings, pages 513–523. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1983.

#### P. Wadler.

#### Theorems for free!

In Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture, Proceedings, pages 347–359. ACM Press, 1989.