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## Another Example
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { reverse }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
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$$
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## Another Example

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { reverse }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
\text { tail }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]
\end{array}
$$

For every choice of $f$ and $I$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { reverse }(\operatorname{map} f l) & =\operatorname{map} f(\text { reverse } I) \\
\operatorname{tail}(\operatorname{map} f l) & =\operatorname{map} f(\text { tail } I)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Another Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { reverse }::[\alpha] & \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
\text { tail }::[\alpha] & \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
\mathrm{g}::[\alpha] & \rightarrow[\alpha]
\end{aligned}
$$

For every choice of $f$ and $I$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { reverse }(\operatorname{map} f I) & =\operatorname{map} f(\text { reverse } I) \\
\operatorname{tail}(\operatorname{map} f I) & =\operatorname{map} f(\operatorname{tail} I) \\
g(\operatorname{map} f I) & =\operatorname{map} f(\mathrm{~g} /)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Automatic Generation of Free Theorems

At http://linux.tcs.inf.tu-dresden.de/~voigt/ft:

This tool allows to generate free theorems for sublanguages of Haskell as described here.
The source code of the underlying library and a shell-based application using it is available here and here.

```
Please enter a (polymorphic) type, e.g. "(a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]" or simply "filter":
g :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
Please choose a sublanguage of Haskell:
- no bottoms (hence no general recursion and no selective strictness)
`general recursion but no selective strictness
* general recursion and selective strictness
Please choose a theorem style (without effect in the sublanguage with no bottoms):
- equational
* inequational
Generate
```


## Automatic Generation of Free Theorems

The theorem generated for functions of the type

```
g :: forall a . (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

in the sublanguage of Haskell with no bottoms is:

```
forall t1,t2 in TYPES, R in REL(t1,t2).
    forall p :: t1 -> Bool.
    forall q :: t2 -> Bool.
        (forall (x, y) in R. p x = q y)
        =>> (forall (z,v) in lift{[]}(R).
            (g p z, g q v) in lift{[]}(R))
```

The structural lifting occurring therein is defined as follows:

```
lift{[]}(R)
    ={([], [])}
    u {(x: xs, y : ys) |
        ((x,y) in R) && ((xs, ys) in lift{[]}(R))}
```

Reducing all permissible relation variables to functions yields:

```
forall t1,t2 in TYPES, f :: t1 -> t2.
    forall p :: t1 -> Bool.
    forall q :: t2 -> Bool.
        (forall x :: t1. p x = q (f x))
        ==> (forall y :: [tl]. map f (g p y) = g q (map f y))
```
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## Some Applications

- Short Cut Fusion [Gill et al., FPCA'93]
- The Dual of Short Cut Fusion [Svenningsson, ICFP'02]
- Circular Short Cut Fusion [Fernandes et al., Haskell'07]
- Knuth's 0-1-principle and the like [Day et al., Haskell'99], [V., POPL'08]
- Bidirectionalisation [V., POPL'09]
- Reasoning about invariants for monadic programs [V., ICFP'09]
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[Matsuda et al., ICFP'07]
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> [V., POPL'09]
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## Analysing Specific Instances

Assume we are given some

$$
\text { get }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]
$$

How can we, or bff, analyse it without access to its source code?

Idea: How about applying get to some input?
Like:

$$
\text { get }[0 . . n]= \begin{cases}{[1 . . n]} & \text { if get }=\text { tail } \\ {[n . .0]} & \text { if get }=\text { reverse } \\ {[0 . .(\min 4 n)]} & \text { if get }=\text { take } 5 \\ & \vdots\end{cases}
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Then transfer the gained insights to source lists other than $[0 . . n]$ !
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$$
\operatorname{map} f(g l)=g(\operatorname{map} f l)
$$

for arbitrary $f$ and $l$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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## Using a Free Theorem

For every

$$
\mathrm{g}::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{map} f(g l)=g(\operatorname{map} f l)
$$

for arbitrary $f$ and $l$.

Given an arbitrary list $s$ of length $n+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{map}(s!!)(\operatorname{get}[0 . . n]) \\
&=\operatorname{get} s
\end{aligned}
$$

## Using a Free Theorem

For every

$$
\mathrm{g}::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{map} f(g l)=g(\operatorname{map} f l)
$$

for arbitrary $f$ and $l$.

Given an arbitrary list $s$ of length $n+1$,

$$
\text { get } s=\operatorname{map}(s!!)(\operatorname{get}[0 . . n])
$$

for every get $::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]$.
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## The Constant-Complement Approach

For our setting,

$$
\text { get }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]
$$

what should be $V^{C}$ and

$$
\text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow V^{C} \quad ? ? ?
$$

To make

$$
\lambda s \rightarrow(\text { get } s, \text { compl } s)
$$

injective, need to record information discarded by get.

Candidates:

1. length of the source list
2. discarded list elements

For the moment, be maximally conservative.

## The Complement Function

type $\operatorname{IntMap} \alpha=[(\operatorname{Int}, \alpha)]$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow & (\text { Int }, \text { IntMap } \alpha) \\
\text { compl } s=\text { let } n & =(\text { length } s)-1 \\
t & =[0 . . n] \\
g & =\text { zip } t s \\
g^{\prime} & =\text { filter }\left(\lambda\left(i,{ }_{2}\right) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)\right) g \\
& \text { in }\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The Complement Function

type $\operatorname{IntMap} \alpha=[(\operatorname{Int}, \alpha)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow & (\text { Int, IntMap } \alpha) \\
\text { compl } s=\text { let } n & =(\text { length } s)-1 \\
t & =[0 . . n] \\
g & =\text { zip } t s \\
g^{\prime} & =\text { filter }(\lambda(i, \ldots) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
& \text { in }\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For example:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { get }=\text { tail } & \rightsquigarrow & \text { compl "abcde" }=\left(5,\left[\left(0,{ }^{\prime} a '\right)\right]\right) \\
\text { get }=\text { take } 3 & \rightsquigarrow & \text { compl "abcde" }=\left(5,\left[\left(3,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right),\left(4,,^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \\
\text { get }=\text { reverse } & \rightsquigarrow & \text { compl "abcde" }=(5,[])
\end{array}
$$

An Inverse of $\lambda s \rightarrow($ get $s$, compl $s)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{inv}::([\alpha],(\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha)) \\
\text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{let} t
\end{array}\right)=[0 . . n] \quad \begin{aligned}
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } h\left(\text { map }\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust }\left(\text { lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

An Inverse of $\lambda s \rightarrow($ get $s$, compl $s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],(\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Map} \alpha)) \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\text { let } t=[0 . . n] \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}^{\dagger}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in } \operatorname{seq} h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

An Inverse of $\lambda s \rightarrow($ get $s$, compl $s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],(\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha)) \\
& \text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\text { let } t \\
& h=[0 . . n] \\
& h
\end{aligned} \quad=\operatorname{assoc}^{\dagger}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} .
$$

For example:

$$
\text { get }=\text { tail } \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{inv}\left(\text { "bcde" },\left(5,\left[\left(0,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\right)=\text { "abcde" }
$$

An Inverse of $\lambda s \rightarrow($ get $s$, compl $s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],(\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha)) \\
& \text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\text { let } t \\
& h=[0 . . n] \\
& h \\
& h^{\prime}=h+\operatorname{assoc}^{\dagger}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& \text { in } \operatorname{seq} h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust }\left(\text { lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For example:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { get }=\text { tail } & \rightsquigarrow \quad \operatorname{inv}(" b c d e ",(5,[(0, ' a ')]))=\text { "abcde" } \\
\text { get }=\text { take } 3 & \rightsquigarrow \quad \operatorname{inv}\left(" x y z ",\left(5,\left[(3, ' d '),\left(4,{ }^{\prime} e^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\right)=\text { "xyzde" }
\end{array}
$$

## An Inverse of $\lambda s \rightarrow($ get $s$, compl $s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],(\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha)) \\
& \text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\text { let } t \\
& h=[0 . . n] \\
& h
\end{aligned} \quad=\operatorname{assoc}^{\dagger}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} .
$$

For example:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { get }=\text { tail } & \rightsquigarrow \quad \operatorname{inv}(" b c d e ",(5,[(0, ' a ')]))=\text { "abcde" } \\
\text { get }=\text { take } 3 & \rightsquigarrow \quad \operatorname{inv}\left(" x y z ",\left(5,\left[(3, ' d '),\left(4,{ }^{\prime} e^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\right)=\text { "xyzde" }
\end{array}
$$

To prove formally:

- inv $($ get $s$, compl $s)=s$
- if inv $(v, c)$ defined, then get $(\operatorname{inv}(v, c))=v$
- if inv $(v, c)$ defined, then compl (inv $(v, c))=c$


## Altogether:

type $\operatorname{IntMap} \alpha=[(\operatorname{lnt}, \alpha)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow & (\text { Int, } \operatorname{IntMap} \alpha) \\
\text { compl } s=\text { let } n & =(\text { length } s)-1 \\
t & =[0 \ldots n] \\
g & =\text { zip } t s \\
g^{\prime} & =\text { filter }(\lambda(i, \ldots) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
& \text { in }\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

inv :: $([\alpha],(\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{IntMap} \alpha)) \rightarrow[\alpha]$
$\operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ let $t=[0 . . n]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\operatorname{get} t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

in seq $h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow\right.\right.$ fromJust (lookup $\left.\left.\left.i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)$
put $::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha]$
put $s v^{\prime}=\operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime}\right.$, compl $\left.s\right)$

## "Fusion"

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { put } s v^{\prime}=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=\operatorname{zip} t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }(\lambda(i, \ldots) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## "Fusion"

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { put } s v^{\prime}=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=z i p t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }\left(\lambda\left(i, \_\right) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)\right) g \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right) \\
& \text { assoc [] [] }=\text { [] } \\
& \text { assoc ( } i: i s)(b: b s)=\text { let } m=\text { assoc is } b s \\
& \text { in case lookup } i m \text { of } \\
& \text { Nothing } \quad \rightarrow(i, b): m \\
& \text { Just } c \mid b==c \rightarrow m
\end{aligned}
$$

## "Fusion"

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bff get } s v^{\prime}=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=z i p t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }(\lambda(i, \ldots) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\operatorname{get} t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right) \\
& \text { assoc [] [] }=\text { [] } \\
& \operatorname{assoc}(i: i s)(b: b s)=\text { let } m=\operatorname{assoc} \text { is } b s \\
& \text { in case lookup } i m \text { of } \\
& \text { Nothing } \quad \rightarrow(i, b): m \\
& \text { Just } c \mid b==c \rightarrow m
\end{aligned}
$$

## "Fusion"

Inlining compl and inv into put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bff get } s v^{\prime}=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=\operatorname{zip} t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }\left(\lambda\left(i, \_\right) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)\right) g \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\operatorname{get} t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right) \\
& \text { assoc [] [] }=\text { [] } \\
& \operatorname{assoc}(i: i s)(b: b s)=\text { let } m=\operatorname{assoc} \text { is } b s \\
& \text { in case lookup } i m \text { of } \\
& \text { Nothing } \quad \rightarrow(i, b): m \\
& \text { Just } c \mid b==c \rightarrow m
\end{aligned}
$$

Actual code only slightly more elaborate!
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## Extending the Technique

Major Problem:

- Shape-affecting updates lead to failure.
- For example, bff tail "abcde" "xyz" ...

Analysis as to Why:

- Our approach to making

$$
\lambda s \rightarrow(\text { get } s, \text { compl } s)
$$

injective was to record, via compl, the following information:

1. length of the source list
2. discarded list elements

- Being maximally conservative this way often does not "collapse enough".
- For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { get }=\text { tail } \rightsquigarrow & \text { put "abcde" "xyz" fails precisely because } \\
& \text { compl "abcde" }=\left(5,\left[\left(0,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

So assume there is a function

$$
\text { shapeInv :: Int } \rightarrow \text { Int }
$$

with, for every source list $s$,

$$
\text { length } s=\operatorname{shapeInv}(\text { length }(\text { get } s))
$$

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

So assume there is a function

$$
\text { shapeInv :: Int } \rightarrow \text { Int }
$$

with, for every source list $s$,

$$
\text { length } s=\operatorname{shapeInv}(\text { length }(\text { get } s))
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow(\operatorname{lnt}, \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha) \\
& \text { compl } s=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& \quad g=\text { zip } t \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }\left(\lambda\left(i,{ }_{-}\right) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)\right) g \\
& \quad \text { in }\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

So assume there is a function

$$
\text { shapeInv :: Int } \rightarrow \text { Int }
$$

with, for every source list $s$,

$$
\text { length } s=\operatorname{shapeInv}(\text { length }(\text { get } s))
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow \quad & \quad \text { IntMap } \alpha \\
\text { compl } s=\text { let } n= & (\text { length } s)-1 \\
t & =[0 . . n] \\
g= & \operatorname{zip} t s \\
g^{\prime}= & \text { filter }(\lambda(i, \ldots) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
\text { in } \quad & g^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],(\operatorname{lnt}, \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha)) \\
& \text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\left(n+1, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\text { let } t \\
& =[0 . . n] \\
& h
\end{aligned} \quad=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} .
$$

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv : : }([\alpha], \quad \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha) \rightarrow[\alpha]
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\operatorname{get} t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv : : }([\alpha], \quad \operatorname{lntMap} \alpha) \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime}, \quad g^{\prime}\right)=\text { let } n=(\operatorname{shap}) \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But how to obtain shapeInv ???

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { inv }::([\alpha], & \\
\text { IntMap } \alpha) & \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
\text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\right. & \left.\quad g^{\prime}\right)=\text { let } n \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& =\left[\text { shapeInv }\left(\text { length } v^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& =\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
h^{\prime} & =h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in } \\
& \text { seq } h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust }\left(\text { lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{array}
$$
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\text { inv }\left(v^{\prime},\right. & \left.\quad g^{\prime}\right)=\text { let } n \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& =\left[\text { shapeInv }\left(\text { length } v^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& =\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
h^{\prime} & =h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in } \\
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But how to obtain shapeInv ???
One possibility: provided by user.
Another possibility: determined statically (dependent types?).

## Assuming Shape-Injectivity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inv :: }([\alpha], \quad \operatorname{IntMap} \alpha) \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime}, \quad g^{\prime}\right)=\text { let } n=\left(\text { shapeInv }\left(\text { length } v^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But how to obtain shapeInv ???
One possibility: provided by user.
Another possibility: determined statically (dependent types?).
Just for experimentation:
shapeInv :: Int $\rightarrow$ Int
shapeInv $I=$ head $[n+1 \mid n \leftarrow[0 .$.$] , (length ($ get $[0 . . n]))==I]$

## Not Quite There, Yet

Works quite nicely in some cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { get }=\text { tail } \rightsquigarrow & \text { put "abcde" "xyz" = "axyz", using } \\
& \text { compl "abcde" }=\left[\left(0,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Not Quite There, Yet

Works quite nicely in some cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { get }=\text { tail } \rightsquigarrow & \text { put "abcde" "xyz" = "axyz", using } \\
& \text { compl "abcde" }=\left[\left(0,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

But not so in others:
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\begin{aligned}
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## Not Quite There, Yet

Works quite nicely in some cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { get }=\text { tail } \rightsquigarrow & \text { put "abcde" "xyz" = "axyz", using } \\
& \text { compl "abcde" }=\left[\left(0,{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

But not so in others:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { get }=\text { init } \rightsquigarrow & \text { put "abcde" "xyz" fails, because } \\
& \text { compl "abcde" }=\left[\left(4,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The problem: by keeping indices around, compl still does not "collapse enough".

Note: even without these indices, $\lambda s \rightarrow$ (get $s$, compl $s)$ would be injective.

## Eliminating Indices

```
compl \(::[\alpha] \rightarrow[(\operatorname{Int}, \alpha)]\)
compl \(s=\) let \(n=(\) length \(s)-1\)
    \(t=[0 . . n]\)
    \(g=z i p t s\)
    \(g^{\prime}=\) filter \((\lambda(i, \ldots) \rightarrow\) notElem \(i(\) get \(t)) g\)
in \(g^{\prime}\)
```


## Eliminating Indices

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { compl }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[ & \quad \alpha] \\
\text { compl } s=\text { let } n & =(\text { length } s)-1 \\
t & =[0 . . n] \\
g & =\text { zip } t s \\
g^{\prime} & =\text { filter }(\lambda(i,,) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
\text { in map } & \text { snd } g^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eliminating Indices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { compl : : }[\alpha] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
{[ }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { compl } s=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=z i p t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }\left(\lambda\left(i,{ }_{2}\right) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)\right) g \\
& \text { in map snd } g^{\prime} \\
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],[(\text { Int }, \alpha)]) \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)=\text { let } n=\left(\text { shapeInv }\left(\text { length } v^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in } \left.\operatorname{seq} h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eliminating Indices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { compl : : }[\alpha] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
{[ }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { compl } s=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=z i p t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }(\lambda(i,-) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) g \\
& \text { in map snd } g^{\prime} \\
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],[\quad \alpha]) \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime}, c\right)=\text { let } n=\left(\text { shapeInv }\left(\text { length } v^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& g^{\prime}=\operatorname{zip}(\text { filter }(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) t) c \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eliminating Indices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { compl : : }[\alpha] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
{[ }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { compl } s=\text { let } n=(\text { length } s)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& g=z i p t s \\
& g^{\prime}=\text { filter }\left(\lambda\left(i,{ }_{2}\right) \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)\right) g \\
& \text { in map snd } g^{\prime} \\
& \text { inv }::([\alpha],[\quad \alpha]) \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v^{\prime}, c\right)=\text { let } n=\left(\text { shapeInv }\left(\text { length } v^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& t=[0 . . n] \\
& h=\operatorname{assoc}(\text { get } t) v^{\prime} \\
& g^{\prime}=\operatorname{zip}(\text { filter }(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { notElem } i(\text { get } t)) t) c \\
& h^{\prime}=h+g^{\prime} \\
& \text { in seq } \left.h\left(\operatorname{map}\left(\lambda i \rightarrow \text { fromJust (lookup } i h^{\prime}\right)\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now:

$$
\text { get }=\text { init } \rightsquigarrow \text { put "abcde" "xyz" = "xyze" }
$$

## More Examples

Let get $=$ sieve with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sieve }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \text { sieve }(a: b: c s)=b:(\text { sieve } c s) \\
& \text { sieve }-\quad=[]
\end{aligned}
$$
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& \text { sieve }(a: b: c s)=b:(\text { sieve } c s) \\
& \text { sieve }-\quad=[]
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## More Examples

Let get $=$ sieve with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sieve }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \text { sieve }(a: b: c s)=b:(\text { sieve } c s) \\
& \text { sieve }-\quad=[]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:
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## More Examples

Let get = sieve with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sieve }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \text { sieve }(a: b: c s)=b:(\text { sieve } c s) \\
& \text { sieve }-\quad=[]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:
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\begin{array}{ll}
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## More Examples

Let get = sieve with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sieve }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \text { sieve }(a: b: c s)=b:(\text { sieve } c s) \\
& \text { sieve }-\quad=[]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { put }[1 . .8][2,-4,6,8] & =[1,2,3,-4,5,6,7,8] \\
\text { put }[1 . .8][2,-4,6] & =[1,2,3,-4,5,6] \\
\text { put }[1 . .8][2,-4,6,8,10,12] & =[1,2,3,-4,5,6,7,8, \perp, 10, \perp, 12]
\end{array}
$$

However:

$$
\text { put }[1 . .8][0,2,-4,6,8]=[1,0,3,2,5,-4,7,6, \perp, 8]
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## More Examples

Let get = sieve with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sieve }::[\alpha] \rightarrow[\alpha] \\
& \text { sieve }(a: b: c s)=b:(\text { sieve } c s) \\
& \text { sieve }-\quad=[]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { put }[1 . .8][2,-4,6,8] & =[1,2,3,-4,5,6,7,8] \\
\text { put }[1 . .8][2,-4,6] & =[1,2,3,-4,5,6] \\
\text { put }[1 . .8][2,-4,6,8,10,12] & =[1,2,3,-4,5,6,7,8, \perp, 10, \perp, 12]
\end{array}
$$

However:

$$
\text { put }[1 . .8][0,2,-4,6,8]=[1,0,3,2,5,-4,7,6, \perp, 8]
$$

Whereas we might have preferred:

$$
\text { put }[1 . .8][0,2,-4,6,8]=[\perp, 0,1,2,3,-4,5,6,7,8]
$$
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Types:

- constrain the behaviour of programs
- thus lead to interesting theorems about programs
- enable lightweight, semantic analysis methods

On the practical side:

- efficiency-improving program transformations
- applications in specific domains (more out there?)

Bidirectionalisation in particular:

- hot topic (databases, models community, ... )
- need a way to inject/exploit "user knowledge"

On the programming language side:

- push towards full programming languages
- aim for exploiting more expressive type systems
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